

We have a letter from Washington, which gives a little more distinct information respecting the vote on Mr. Root's amendment to Mr. Clayton's Resolution to choose the Bank Committee by ballot, than we find in the Congressional analysis of the Washington papers. It is stated that the question had just been taken; the yeas had been announced—101; but the nays had not been declared; when Mr. Plummer of Mississippi, *who had voted in the affirmative, CHANGED HIS VOTE.* The vote was then declared, yeas 100, noes 100: The Chair voted in the negative! or, in other words, *Mr. Speaker, appointed himself to appoint the Committee.* The result occasioned some little excitement in the House, as well it might. Such an exhibition of that rare commodity, *modesty,* would, no doubt, produce some expressions of surprise and admiration.

In the House of Representatives, after the introduction of several private bills, the consideration of the resolution of Mr. Clayton of Georgia for an investigation into the affairs of the bank, was resumed, and Mr Collier of New York addressed the House in favor of the inquiry. The question was then taken on Mr. Root's amendment, to appoint the committee by ballot ; and it was rejected by a vote of 101 to 100, the vote being, in the first instance, ayes 100, noes 100, and the Speaker giving the casting vote in the negative. Mr. Wayne offered a modification of the amendment offered by him on a previous day, embracing in the inquiry a varied and complete series of matters appertaining to the business of the bank. He advocated the propriety of its adoption until a late hour, when, becoming evidently much exhausted from fatigue and heat, the House, on motion of Mr. Irvin, adjourned.