

THE BANK INVESTIGATION.

We may look, we presume, for the report of the committee of investigation, in the course of a few days: possibly by the next mail.

We are not surprised that such prints, the eulogists, the *rewarded* eulogists, of the bank, as a few days since oppressed the committee with compliments to their integrity, purity, and all that, should now assail them as *inquisitorial*, and as exceeding their powers to a censurable extent. The compliments were designed to prevent an extent of investigation, which it is not strange was not particularly desired by the prints in question: The attacks naturally follow a required discharge of duty, and its consequent disclosures.

Mr. Walsh, who is stated to be on the books of the bank to the amount of \$6000, and who is the editor of the National Bank Gazette (as it is familiarly called) and of the ~~the~~ American Quarterly Review, is quite unwilling to have it imagined that his loan stands upon the footing which rumor ascribes to some of the other editorial borrowers. He says: "We do not wish to be confounded with any editors or proprietors of newspapers, who have, as such, borrowed from the Bank of the U. S., on whatever security. We presume and believe, that in every instance the security offered was deemed and has proved to be

on whatever
“ that in every instance the security offered was
“ deemed and has proved to be good; but to use the
“ ancient and homely proverb—let every tub stand
“ on its own bottom.” That is, if any two editors of a
public journal, have borrowed some \$55,000, upon
the irresponsible endorsements of their own names,
and *no other*, for unusual periods, and at the mo-
ment they performed an entire somerset in their
opinions in relation to the constitutionality and ex-
pediency of the Bank and its re-charter, then the
editor of the National Bank Gazette cannot consent
to place *his* loan upon the same footing. He has
ever been a friend of the bank, and has devoted his
newspaper and his *reviews* to its service. He
is not rewarded for any *change* of course in this
respect, but for a steady adherence to old notions,
political and pecuniary. Hence we say with him,
“ let every tub stand on its own bottom.” But
give us all the light which this “ extraordinary in-
quisition” has elicited.