

The proceedings of Congress on Thursday and Friday were of much interest. All parties were tired of the Houston affair, and glad to force it to a conclusion. It would seem that the privilege of appearing on the floor ought to have been denied to a man, whom the House declared guilty of having violated its privileges. A solemn reprimand by the Speaker might be considered as a severe punishment, but it will not be so received. We presume that the reader will remark how our notable representative, Mr. Horn, voted. Mr. Burges delivered a long speech, on Friday in the Houston case, and the *Globe* says that Mr. Archer occupied the *attention* of the House two hours and a quarter. In the same number of the *Globe*, Mr. Clay is said to have stated that he was ready to sit on Saturdays, and six hours a-day. On Friday, Mr. Adams signified his intention to present to-day his views of the Bank question. We learn that it will be voluminous, and not less cogent and satisfactory in favour of the Bank, than that of Mr. M'Duffie. Let the account of the latter, which is given by the *National Intelligencer*, be attentively perused. Let the weight of the judgments, and public characters, and motives, of Mr. Adams, Mr. M'Duffie and Col. Watmough be compared with that which even the boldest partisan would venture to ascribe to those of Messrs. Clayton, Cambreleng and Thomas. The former three gentlemen pronounce, after the most minute and comprehensive examination, that "the affairs of the Bank have been administered by the President and Directors with very great ability, and with perfect fidelity to all the obligations to the Stockholders, to the government and the country:" we did not suppose that the report of the three inquisitors could wither and dwindle, more than it did when Mr. Adams and Mr. M'Duffie made their first declaration against it; but now it is so sapless and shrunk that even Mr. Cambreleng disclaims it.